UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,976	04/07/2006	Martin Graham Partridge	JMYS-141US	5934
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 09/29/200 TTIA	8	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 980			BOYKIN, TERRESSA M	
VALLET FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence		А	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/574,976	PARTRIDGE ET	PARTRIDGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit				
		Te	erressa M. Boykin	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) unication. ututory period will ap will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 19 June	2008					
· ·			tion is non-final.					
3)		/ 		itters prosecution as to t	he merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-22 and 24-32 is/are pen	ding in the an	plication					
•	Claim(s) <u>14-22 and 24-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>14-22 and 24-32</u> is/are reje	cted						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	otou.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or el	ection requirement.					
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	-	-	-				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/574,976 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14 - 32 have been considered and are persuasive but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-22 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by see USPub 20020019564 (USP 7329778) see abstract, claims 7,11 and paragraphs below.

USPub 20020019564 discloses a process for making a salt of a carboxylic acid. The process comprises contacting a catalyst with an alkaline mixture comprising a primary alcohol.

In [0020], the catalyst comprises a metal sponge comprising at least about 15% by weight non-copper metal, and at least about 10% by weight copper. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the dehydrogenation catalyst further comprises less than about 1% by weight metal oxide. In yet another particularly preferred embodiment, the

dehydrogenation catalyst comprises greater than about 1% by weight nickel, tin, chromium, tungsten ,titanium, niobium, tantalum, vanadium, molybdenum, manganese, bismuth, antimony, lead, germanium, or a combination thereof.

The reference also discloses that in [0059], other metals (e.g., *zinc*, cobalt, iron, and tin) which show some reactivity in alkaline and/or chelating environments also may often be suitable.

The reference also discloses that a chelating agent may also be beneficial to inhibit the displaced metal from redepositing onto the metal support. Suitable chelating agents include, for example, hydroxy carboxylic acids, (e.g., lactic acid, malic acid, citric acid, and tartaric acid) and salts thereof (e.g., sodium potassium tartrate, also described in the art as "Rochelle salt"), with tartaric acid and salts thereof being preferred.

With regard to claim 20 [0086] Suitable chelating agents include, for example, hydroxy carboxylic acids (e.g., lactic acid, malic acid, citric acid, and tartaric acid) and salts thereof.

With regard to claim 18 and 19 the reference states that the process comprises contacting a dehydrogenation catalyst with an alkaline mixture comprising a primary alcohol which may inherently be a diol as that which is claimed. The reference discloses a catalyst which is used in the esterification reaction prepared from the same components as claimed by applicants. Since the disclosed amounts, i.e. moles per mole...., are expressed differently and thus may be distinct from those claimed, it is incumbent upon applicant(s) to establish that they are in fact different and whether such difference is unobvious. Nevertheless with regard to the claims directed to the amounts,

Art Unit: 1796

the reference does disclose the amounts in [0105]. In view of the above, there appears to be no significant difference between the reference and that which is claimed by applicant(s). Any differences not specifically mentioned appear to be conventional. Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26, 27, 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over see USPub 20020019564 abstract, claims.

With regard to claims 28-32 the reference discloses the zinc moiety may be used but does not specify what type. However, zinc slats including zinc acetate are the common form of zinc that is used.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a zinc compound of those claimed since generally, it is prima facie obvious to select a known material for incorporation into a composition,

Art Unit: 1796

based on its recognized suitability for its intended purpose. See Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 US 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). See also In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

With regard to claims 26 and 27 the reference does not specifically disclose the use of terephthalate but the crux of the invention is the formation or a process for making a salt of a carboxylic acid which would include terephthalate. Thus since some portion of terephthalate would be produced during the reaction, some of the terephthalate would inherently be included there.

With regard to the amounts employed, since it is well-established that merely selecting proportions and ranges is not patentable absent a showing of criticality. In re Becket, 33 U.S.P.Q. 33 (C.C.P.A. 1937). In re Russell, 439 F.2d 1228, 169 U.S.P.Q. 426 (C.C.P.A. 1971).

Provisional Obviousness-type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

Page 6

37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-27 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims of copending Application No. 10538341; or claims of copending application 10537651. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented. Each of the applications claims a catalyst suitable for use in an esterification reactions which may include the reactants and moieties as claimed by applicants it would have been obvious given the information in the claims to produce the catalyst as currently claimed..

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terressa M. Boykin whose telephone number is 571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10-5:30 Friday (work at home).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,976 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Terressa M. Boykin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796